Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/889,015	SCHALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	C. SAYALA	1761
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>C. SAYALA</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr Scott Cox.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: pending Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
$\partial I = 0$		· i
(Sarale		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised that claims 34-36, previously indicated as allowable, in fact upon reconsideration, would be rejected over Lemke et al. and US Patents 5149549, 5639492, DE 2980635, & EP 0721741. Examiner was authorized to cancel these claims to allow the remaining claims to issue. Since applicant's response to the paper filed on 5/4/04 has not been received/entered by the Office, examiner was authorized to cancel claims 1-12. Examiner was also authorized to correct a typographical error in claim 14, as shown in the examiner's amendment.